Specialist Working Group for Immunology

Proposed changes to the Criteria for the clinical use of intravenous immunoglobulin in Australia, Second Edition

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (A) Administrative) (B) Progressive (C) Programmed
Condition Name	Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) [Proteinase 3 (PR3) or myeloperoxidase (MPO)]- positive systemic necrotising vasculitis	Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA) [Proteinase 3 (PR3) or myeloperoxidase (MPO)]- positive systemic necrotising vasculitis	
Specialty	Immunology	Rheumatology, Immunology	
Chapter	6	6	
Specific Conditions		 ANCA (PR3 or MPO)-positive idiopathic rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis Eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Churg-Strauss Syndrome) Granulomatosis with polyangiitis (Wegener Granulomatosis) Microscopic polyangiitis 	The names of the 4 clinical syndromes within ANCA have been reviewed and revised where updated names are in use. (A)
Level of Evidence	Evidence of probable benefit (<u>Category 2a</u>).	Evidence of probable benefit (<u>Category 2a</u>).	
Justification for Evidence Category	The Biotext (2004) review found one randomised trial of 34 patients and one case series of 7 patients with ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis (AASV). Different AASVs were represented in the studies. The Biotext (2004) review concluded that there is possible benefit in the treatment of AASV with IVIg if disease activity persists after standard therapy.	The Biotext (2004) review found one randomised trial of 34 patients and one case series of 7 patients with ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis (AASV). Different AASVs were represented in the studies. The Biotext (2004) review concluded that there is possible benefit in the treatment of AASV with IVIg if disease activity persists after standard therapy.	Reviewed and unchanged.

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF	PROPOSED REV	SIONS TO TH	E CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE
	INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)				(A) Administrative) (B) Progressive
					(C) Programmed
Description and	ANCA associated systemic necrotising vasculitides	ANCA associated	l systemic neo	crotising	
Diagnostic	are life-threatening immune-mediated	vasculitides are	ife-threatenir	ng immune-	Diagnostic criteria nave clinical syndrome names
Criteria	inflammatory diseases comprising one of four	mediated inflam	matory diseas	ses comprising	Teviseu.
	clinical syndromes:	one of four clinio	cal syndromes		
	 Wegener granulomatosis; microscopic polyangiitis; Churg–Strauss syndrome; and ANCA (PR3 or MPO)-positive idiopathic rapidly progressive glomerulonephritis. In these cases the ANCA specificity is directed against the neutrophil cytoplasmic antigens PR3 and MPO. ANCA that lack MPO or PR3 specificity tend to be non-specific. Biopsy of affected tissue is required to establish the diagnosis. Standard combinations of corticosteroids and cytotoxic immunosuppression are generally effective at controlling disease, but relapses are common. IVIg has a limited role as one of several therapeutic options in relapsing disease. 	 Granuld (Wegen) Microsch Eosinop polyang ANCA (P rapidly p In these cases th against the neut and MPO. ANCA specificity tend t affected tissue is diagnosis. Standard combin cytotoxic immur effective at cont common. IVIg has 	omatosis with er Granuloma opic polyangii hilic granulon itis (Churg-Sti R3 or MPO)-p orogressive glo that ack MPO to be non-spect orophil cytopla that lack MPO to be non-spect rophil cytopla	polyangiitis tosis); tis; natosis with rauss Syndrome); oositive idiopathic omerulonephritis. ficity is directed smic antigens PR3 O or PR3 cific. Biopsy of establish the ticosteroids and a are generally e, but relapses are le as one of	
		several theraped	itic options in	relapsing disease	
Diagnosis is required		Yes	Which Speciality	Rheumatologist Immunologist	Treating specialists have been definded (A)
Diagnosis must		No	Which		
be verified			Specialty		
Exclusion	Initial therapy	First line or initial treatment for ANCA		or ANCA	Wording revised (A)

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (A) Administrative)
	AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)		(B) Progressive (C) Programmed
Criteria			
Indication for use	Control of vasculitic activity in rare cases of ANCA-positive systemic necrotising vasculitis failing to respond to corticosteroids and cytotoxic immunosuppression.	ANCA positive systemic necrotising vasculitis failing to respond to corticosteroids and cytotoxic immunosuppression Relapse in ANCA positive systemic necrotising vasculitis resistant following response to Ig therapy	Two indications have been used. All qualifying patients will only receive 6 months treatment. A second indication supports the further treatment of responders who relapse following cessation of Ig therapy. Different qualifying and review criteria will apply. (A)
Qualifying Criteria	 MPO or PR3 ANCA-positive systemic necrotising vasculitis with both of the following: 1. Current (or within the previous six months) standard cytotoxic immunosuppressive ANCA-vasculitis regimens; AND 2. Persistent active disease. 	 ANCA positive systemic necrotising vasculitis failing to respond to corticosteroids and cytotoxic immunosuppression Evidence of active MPO or PR3 positive vasculitis confirmed by serology and an ANCA level above the normal reference range unless negative ANCA level with active vasculitis AND At least two reactive indicators when assessed by Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) version 3 (v3) (score > 5), Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) (score >5) and C-reactive protein (CRP) (score >6). 	Qualifying criteria have been defined to expand diagnostic requirements and gather data to be used as a comparison (to ensure response to Ig) should patients relapse and require further treatment in the future. (A)
		Persistent disease despite standard Corticosteroid therapy for 6 months unless steroid therapy is contraindicated	Requirements consistent with the original criteria

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (A) Administrative) (B) Progressive (C) Programmed
		 AND A trial of Rituximab has failed to demonstrate a response unless Rituximab is inaccessible or contraindicated AND 	 have been used and revised to include rituximab treatment (if available) and steroid treatment. The Immunosuppressant therapy options are : Cyclophosphamide Azathioprine Mycophenolate Methotrexate
		 At least two other immunosuppressant agents have been trialled in addition to steroids and Rituximab unless immunosuppressant medication is contraindicated. 	Script added to explain the treatment being authorised.
		The initial authorisation period is six months only. The reporting of clinical outcome data after six months treatment is strongly encouraged as a demonstrated clinical response to Ig therapy is required for eligibility for further authorisation, should the patient relapse in the future.	
		Relapse in ANCA positive systemic necrotising vasculitis resistant following response to Ig therapy	
		 Patient has previously demonstrated a clinical response following six months Ig therapy as measured by a reduction in at least one of Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) or ANCA 	

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (A) Administrative) (B) Progressive (C) Programmed
		level or Birmingham Vascular Activity Score (BVAS version 3).	
		• The patient has evidence of active vasculitis as assessed by increased reactivity in at least one of ESR, CRP, ANCA or BVAS compared to level after Ig therapy, and a description of the relapse and active vasculitis requiring treatment is provided.	
Review Criteria	 Six-month review assessing evidence of clinical benefit. Reduction in the Birmingham vasculitis activity score of more than 50% after three months. Erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein concentration. ANCA titre. 	 ANCA positive systemic necrotising vasculitis failing to respond to corticosteroids and cytotoxic immunosuppression Review is not mandated for this indication however the following criteria may be usful in assessing the effectiveness of therapy. Evidence of clinical benefit and reposnse to treatment is assessed by a reduction in at least one indicator of Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) level, ANCA level or Birmingham Vascular Activity Score (BVAS) version 3 (compared to qualifying value). Detail the clinical response to treatment. 	 Only 6 months treatment is approved initially for all patients. If patients relapse within 6 months from cessation, re-entry is supported with eligibility criteria requiring demonstration of previous response to Ig treatment and measurable relapse. Outcome data has been defined for patients on the first 6 months of treatment and encouragement given for recording the data in case patients should relapse. Once patients do relapse, they are eligible for maintenance therapy provided they have demonstrated a response to the first treatment. (A) Review criteria have been defined and a further trial may be indicated once patients are stable for

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE
	AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)		(B) Progressive
			(C) Programmed
		The reporting of clinical outcome data is	2 years. (A)
		encouraged as demonstrated clinical response	Patients that have then demonstrated relapse.
		to Ig therapy is required for eligibility for further	formally responded and then stabilized, will
		authorisation, should the patient relapse in the	remain on treatment with an annual review. (A)
		future.	
		Relapse in ANCA positive systemic necrotising	
		vasculitis resistant following response to Ig therapy	
		Six monthly review by a Rheumatologist or	
		clinical Immunologist is required to assess	
		evidence of clinical benefit. Once the patient	
		relanse cessation of lg therany should be	
		considered.	
		On review of an initial authorisation period	
		Patients demonstrate evidence of clinical	
		benefit and response to treatment as	
		assessed by reduction in at least one	
		indicator of Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate	
		level or Birmingham Vasculitis Activity	
		(BVAS) Score compared to the original	
		qualifying value.	
		On review of a continuing authorisation period	
		Once the patient has been in clinical remission	
		for two years, a trial off therapy should be	

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE
	INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN		(A) Administrative)
	AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)		(B) Progressive
		considered	(C) Flogrammed
		Patients demonstrate evidence of clinical	
		benefit and response to Ig treatment as	
		assessed by stabilisation of at least one indicator of Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate	
		(ESR), C-reactive protein (CRP) level, ANCA	
		level or Birmingham Vasculitis Activity	
		(BVAS) Score compared to the previous	
		review score	
		AND	
		A description of the patient's clinical	
		response to Ig treatment is provided	
		AND	
		A trial off Ig therapy is planned unless a	
		valid reason is provided.	
Dose	2 g/kg in single or divided doses.	Induction Dose - 2 g/kg in divided doses	Dosing script has been modified given that
			induction doses of 2g/Kg should not be given as a
	Dosing above 1 g/kg per day is contraindicated	Maintenance Dose - 0.4-1g/Kg 4 to 6 weekly	single dose. (A)
	for some IVIg products.	The aim should be to use the lowest dose	Maintenance dose has also been defined. There
		possible that achieves the appropriate clinical	was insufficient evidence to justify dosing at up
	Refer to the current product information sheet	outcome for each patient .	to 2g/Kg continuously and maintenance dosing of
	for further information.	Dosing above 1 g/kg per day is contraindicated for some IVIg products.	0.4g to ig/ng was seen to be sumclent. (b)
	The aim should be to use the lowest dose	Refer to the current product information sheet	
	possible that achieves the appropriate clinical	for further information.	

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA	SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE (A) Administrative) (B) Progressive (C) Programmed	
	outcome for each patient.		(-)	
		BIBLIOGRAPHY		
Biotext 2004, 'Summary data on conditions and papers', in A systematic literature review and report on the efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy and its risks, commissioned by the National Blood Authority on behalf of all Australian Governments, pp. 248–50. Available from: http://www.nba.gov.au/pubs/pdf/report-lit-rev.pdf				
Foster, R, Rosentha	al, E, Marques, S, et al 2006, 'Primary systemic vasculiti	s: treatment of difficult cases', Lupus, vol. 15, no. 3, p	p. 143–7.	
Jayne, DR & Rasmussen, N 1997, 'Treatment of antineutrophil cytoplasm autoantibody-associated systemic vasculitis: initiatives of the European Community Systemic Vasculitis Clinical Trials Study Group', Mayo Clinic Proceedings, vol. 72, no. 8, pp. 737–47.				
Jayne, DR, Chapel, H, Adu, D, et al 2000, 'Intravenous immunoglobulin for ANCA-associated systemic vasculitis with persistent disease activity', <i>Quarterly Journal of Medicine</i> , vol. 93, no. 7, pp. 433–9.				
Jayne, DR, Davies, MJ, Fox, CJ, et al 1991, 'Treatment of systemic vasculitis with pooled intravenous immunoglobulin', Lancet, vol. 337, no. 8750, pp. 1137–9.				
Jennette, JC, Falk, RJ, Andrassy, K, et al 2004, 'Nomenclature of systemic vasculitides: proposal of an international consensus conference', Arthritis & Rheumatism, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 187–92 (Chapel Hill Consensus criteria).				
Orange, JS, Hossny, EM, Weiler, CR, et al 2006, 'Use of intravenous immunoglobulin in human disease: A review of primary evidence by members of the Primary Immunodeficiency Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology', <i>Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology</i> , vol. 117, no. 4, pp. S525–53.				
END OF DOCUMENT				