Specialist Working Group for Neurology

Proposed changes to the Criteria for the clinical use of intravenous immunoglobulin in Australia, Second Edition

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
Condition Name	Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP), (including IgG and IgA paraproteinaemic neuropathies)	Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP), (including IgG and IgA paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathies)	Addition: "demyelinating" added to qualify paraproteinaemic neuropathies and distinguish from axonal types.
Specialty	Neurology	Neurology	
Chapter	5	5	
Specific Condition s Level of Evidence	Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) IgA paraproteinaemic neuropathy IgG paraproteinaemic neuropathy Clear evidence of benefit (<u>Category 1</u>).	Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) IgA paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy IgG paraproteinaemic demyelinating neuropathy Clear evidence of benefit (<u>Category 1</u>).	Addition: demyelinating" added to qualify paraproteinaemic neuropathies to distinguish from axonal types.
Justificati on for Evidence Category	The Biotext (2004) review found one Cochrane review of six RCTs with a total sample size of 170. The quality of the studies was low–moderate, found IVIg improved disability in the short-term, and had comparable results to treatment with plasma exchange or prednisolone. The Frommer and Madronino (2006)	The Biotext (2004) review found one Cochrane review of six RCTs with a total sample size of 170. The quality of the studies was low-moderate, found IVIg improved disability in the short-term, and had comparable results to treatment with plasma exchange or prednisolone. 2013 Cochrane review of eight RCTs including 323 participants. A significantly higher proportion of patients had short term improvement in disability after IVIg	The justification of evidence category has been updated and now refers to Cochrane review 2013. Paragraph 2 was deleted – immunoadsorption is no longer used.

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)		PF	ROPOSED CHANGES TO	O THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES	
	review found one low-quality RCT with a total sample size of 20, which demonstrated that more patients responded to immunoadsorption than IVIg, although the baseline disease duration was higher in the IVIg group. Differences were not significant.		evidence improver efficacy t	d with placebo RR2.4,). 1 study confirmed lo ment over 24 and 48 w to Plasma Exchange, or I prednisolone in the sl	ng term eeks. IVIg had similar al prednisolone and		
Descripti on and Diagnosti c Criteria	CIDP is an acquired sensorimotor polyneuropathy characterised by a		character remitting with evid electroph response There is r clinical ar disorder syndrom	n acquired sensorimoto rised by a progressive of g course developing ove lence of demyelination hysiological or patholog to immunomodulating no specific diagnostic to nd laboratory findings from other immune m es. Serum protein elect fixation may be indicato nal gammopathy and a	or relapsing/ er at least 2 months on gical studies and g therapies. est, but characteristic help distinguish this ediated neuropathic trophoresis with ed to search for	Addition: developing over at least 2 months – to qualify the progression of disease required for the diagnosis	
Diagnosis is required	Yes	By which specialty	General physician or Neurologist	Yes	By which specialty	Neurologist	The system validates the requirement for diagnosis. Diagnosis is recommended to be limited to neurologists, if Ig is to be used. In order to support ongoing local rural patient care in some jurisdictions, once stable, patient referral to general physicians for review & ongoing management

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)		PF	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA		RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES	
							of stable patients is required. This will involve formal handover between treating medical specialists within the Ig system. (See Review section)
Diagnosis must be verified	Yes	By which specialty	Neurologist (if neurologist did not make original diagnosis)	No	By which specialty		
Exclusion Criteria							No exclusion criteria required.
Indicatio ns				nt of CIDP for patients nised or there is signifi	-	The indication has been modified to better describe eligible patients.	
			Relapse of CIDP Patients within 6 months of commencement of trial off Ig therapy	Clinical assessments for efficacy now distinguish impairment and disability, with disability considered to be the most valid endpoint.			
							A new indication was created to support re-entry of patients that relapse within 6 months of trial off ig treatment.
Qualifyin g Criteria	First-line treatment for CIDP with treatment initiated when progression is rapid, or walking is compromised, or there is significant functional			nt of CIDP for patients nised or there is signifi	•	The criteria for eligibility have been more clearly defined. The INCAT Score has been selected as the single, most easily measurable, accessible and simple assessment to determine disability in adults.	
		i rment. osis of CIDP verified b	y a	significar	child (10 years or older It disability or compron Iy measured by Inflamı	nised walking as	Patients will qualify for initial treatment when the level of disability as defined by an INCAT disability score is

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
	neurologist; AND Significant functional impairment of activities of daily living (ADL).	Cause and Treatment (INCAT) score of greater than 1 point. OR Child (less than 10 years) demonstrating significant disability or compromised walking. (A baseline Modified Rankin ADL and Six minute walk test should be performed in order to assess the patient's response at initial review). Relapse of CIDP Patients within 6 months	 greater than 1. The INCAT Score assesses both walking and significance of the disability, addressing all the criteria supporting the indication. A reference for INCAT (with link) is be added to the system. It was noted that prescriptive qualification criteria are required to control access to Ig treatment, however, some patients may not always meet these criteria but would gain demonstrable benefit from Ig treatment. Consideration is recommended to be given to a national
		commencement of trial off Ig therapy Previously stable adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating a deterioration in disability as measured by a increase of greater than or equal to one point in the Adjusted INCAT Score compared to the previous review score OR Previously stable adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating a deterioration in disability as measured by a reduction of greater than or equal to three points in the MRC Sum (12) Score compared to the previous review score. OR Previously stable child (less than 10 years old demonstrating a deterioration in disability as measured by a reduction in the Six Minute Walk Test compared to the previous review score and an	 appeals process, potentially using peer review, to approve trials of Ig therapy to be used in association with other medication in such patients. The disability assessment systems for adults are not always appropriate for children with CIDP. A further option(s) was added for young children with review criteria defined Due to the wide variability in young children, a written description regarding functional impairment will be assessed at qualifying (excessive falling over; lack of ability to get up from floor or out of a chair; impaired walking / running compared to before; unsteadiness on feet) and baseline assessments made by Modified Rankin ADL and Six minute walk test at qualifying and used to assess response at initial review.

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
		ADL Score AND [Group 2] Relapse occurs within 6 months of the last Ig dose	remission and would not require ongoing therapy. Given that some patients may relapse during a trial off therapy, a new indication is required to test eligibility for recommencement on Ig treatment.
Review Criteria	 IVIg should be used for three to six months (three to six courses) before determining whether the patient has responded. Most individuals will respond within three months unless there is significant axonal degeneration whereby a six-month course will be necessary. If there is no benefit after three to six courses, IVIg therapy should be abandoned. Review Regular review by a neurologist is 	Treatment of CIDP for patients in whom walking is compromised or there is significant disability IVIg should be used for a maximum of four months (induction plus three maintenance cycles) before determining whether the patient has responded. If there is no benefit after this treatment, IVIg therapy should be abandoned. Review by a Neurologist is required within four months at the latest and annually thereafter (Neurologist or General Physician). Clinical	The initial authorisation period is based on time, because initial patient response is expected to be no longer that 4 months (1 month induction and 3 cycles of treatment). For patients on treatment of frequency greater than monthly, this means more than 4 months of treatment. General Physician was added to the "Who must undertake the review" field to allow local management for continuing review (only) for those rural patients requiring it. The initial review MUST be performed by a neurologist.
	required: frequency as determined by clinical status of patient. For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually. Effectiveness : Clinical documentation of effectiveness is necessary for continuation of IVIg therapy.	 documentation of efficacy is necessary for continuation of IVIg therapy. On review of an initial authorisation period Adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating improvement in disability as measured by a decrease of at least one point in the Adjusted INCAT score compared to the qualifying score. OR 	Cessation is to be considered at initial review (4 months) and annually (Continuing Review). In this instance, a patient may then relapse and require recommencement of treatment. If the relapse occurs within 6 months, patients should be able to re-commence therapy without full re-qualification, however, it was agreed that some assessment of deterioration in INCAT or MRC score was still required before recommencing Ig treatment. Review of initial authorisation period
	Effectiveness can be demonstrated by	Adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating	Four months has been selected as it is a long enough

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
	 objective findings of either: 1. improvement in functional scores (activities of daily living — ADLs) or quantitative muscle scores or Medical Research Council (MRC) muscle assessment or neuropathy score; or 2. stabilisation of disease as defined by stable functional scores (ADLs) or quantitative muscle scores or MRC muscle assessment or neuropathy score after previous evidence of deterioration in one of these scores. 	 improvement in impairment as measured by an increase in MRC sum score (12) of greater than three points compared to the qualifying score. OR Child (less than 10 years) demonstrating improvement in disability as measured by the Six minute walk test compared to the qualifying score and a reduction of at least 1 point in the Modified Rankin ADL Score compared to qualifying. On review of a continuing authorisation period Adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating stabilisation or continued improvement in disease after previous evidence of deterioration in one or more of the Adjusted INCAT Score and MRC Sum (12) Score compared to the previous review scores. OR Child (less than 10 years) demonstrating stabilisation or continued improvement in disease after previous evidence of the previous review scores. AR And the Modified Rankin compared to the previous review scores. AND A trial off therapy is planned or a valid reason provided as to why a trial is not being planned or is contra-indicated at this time. 	 period to determine response and should also allow time for city neurologists with rural patients to undertake the initial review. The initial authorisation review criteria were re-defined as shown. Formal improvement in disability is sought with documentation of the level achieved after 4 cycles of treatment including induction. The Adjusted INCAT Score is required at review because variation in some upper limb flexors are not valid as contributing to the definition of response. Therefore the INCAT is adjusted for Review purposes. A second evaluation option for adults has been included in the review criteria. The MRC sum score is to be included for evaluation of disability at review in adults because a response in some patients could be present but not accurately measured by the INCAT Score. It is not required at qualifying because Sensory CIDP & other variants would not be reflected in MRC sum score but are adequately assessed by INCAT for qualification purposes. Some tolerance is required in authorisation values for stability as prescribers are being encouraged to find the minimal effective dose for patients.
		A trial off Ig therapy should be considered annually in stable patients on maintenance therapy to identify	Patients would only be identified to be in long term

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
		patients who are in remission. Relapse of CIDP Patients within 6 months commencement of trial off Ig therapy	remission if a trial off therapy is attempted. The continuing review screen will have a question promting prescribers to consider a trial off therapy and including an option to comment when a trial was last attempted or the reason why a trial is not planned.
		IVIg should be used for a maximum of four months (induction plus three maintenance cycles) before determining whether the patient has responded. If there is no benefit after this treatment, IVIg therapy should be abandoned.	
		Review by a neurologist is required within four months at the latest and annually thereafter (Neurologist or General Physician). Clinical documentation of efficacy is necessary for continuation of IVIg therapy.	The review requirements for re-entry after relapsing during a trial off therapy were defined such that the initial review criteria would need to be met - eg after induction plus three cycles, improvement must be demonstrated. Once response is achieved, the patient
		Once a patient has relapsed when trialled off Ig treatment, a second line immunomodulatory agent should be strongly considered as additional therapy.	would move to an annual review. Once patients have relapsed and restarted Ig treatment, they should not be trialled off therapy again for at least 2 years. After that time, once stable, a further trial may be considered at some stage. The option should be offered.
		On review of an initial authorisation period Adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating Improvement in disability as measured by a decrease in the Adjusted INCAT score of at least one point and /or as measured by an increase in MRC sum (12) score of at least three points or both compared to the qualifying scores.	Once a patient has relapsed, it is appropriate for them to return to Ig therapy but a second line immunomodulatory agent should be strongly considered as additional therapy . Text was added to the Review Preamble "Once a patient has relapsed when trialled off Ig treatment, a second line immunomodulatory agent should be strongly considered
		OR	as additional therapy."
		Child (less than 10 years) demonstrating improvement in disability as measured by an improvement in the Six minute Walk Test and a	

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES
		reduction of at least one point in the Modified Rankin ADL Score compared to the qualifying scores.	
		On review of a continuing authorisation period	
		Adult or child (10 years or older) demonstrating stabilisation or continued improvement in disease after previous evidence of deterioration in one or both of INCAT and MRC Sum (12) Scores compared to the previous review score.	
		OR	
		Child (less than 10 years) demonstrating stabilisation or continued improvement in disease after previous evidence of deterioration as measured by the Six minute Walk Test and the Modified Rankin compared to the previous review scores.	
		AND	
		A trial off therapy is planned or reason provided as to why a trial is not being planned or is contra- indicated at this time.	
		Once a patient has relapsed in the first 6 months of a trial off therapy, a further trial might be considered after at least 2 years.	
Dose	Induction - 2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided	Induction - 2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided doses.	The dosing approach is the same for both indications.
	doses.	Maintenance - Up to 0.4–1 g/kg, 2–6 weekly.	Induction dose is unchanged.
		The amount per dose should be titrated to the individual's response. A maximum dose of 2 g/Kg	

ITEM	CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)	PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE CRITERIA	RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGES			
	 Maintenance – 0.4–1 g/kg, 2–6 weekly. The amount per dose should be titrated to the individual's response. Aim for minimum dose to maintain optimal functional status. Refer to the current product information sheet for further information. The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient. 	 may be given in any 4 week period. This might be by divided doses more frequently than fortnightly. The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient. Dosing above 1g/kg per day is contraindicated for some IVIg products. Refer to the current product information sheet for further information. 	Discussion regarding maintenance dosing indicated that changes are to be proposed in the next phase of work to consider published protocols (E.g. Mayo Clinic) where weekly dosing had proven to be efficacious. The limit on frequency under the current policy is 2 weekly. It was agreed that provided the total dose allowable in any month was not exceeded, dosing of patients on a weekly basis was acceptable under the policy. A statement to that effect was added to the maintenance dosing advice. The minimum dose was set to 0.01 to accommodate doses less than 0.4mg/Kg which could be efficacious. The maxiumum dose interval was set to 8 weekly. A divided dose is supported for maintenance to allow the aplitting of a 4 week total dose to be given up to weekly.			
BIBLIOGRAPHY						

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Asia-Pacific IVIg Advisory Board 2004, 'Bringing consensus to the use of IVIg in neurology. Expert consensus statements on the use of IVIg in neurology', 1st edn, Asia-Pacific IVIg Advisory Board Inc., pp. 21–29.

Biotext 2004, 'Summary data on conditions and papers', in A systematic literature review and report on the efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin therapy and its risks, commissioned by the National Blood Authority on behalf of all Australian Governments, pp. 132–3. Available from: http://www.nba.gov.au/pubs/pdf/report-lit-rev.pdf.

Dunaway, S, Montes, J, Garber, CE, et al 2014, 'Performance of the Timed 'Up and Go' Test in spinal muscular atrophy'. *Muscle & Nerve*, vol. 50, pp. 273–277.

Frommer, M & Madronio, C 2006, The use of intravenous immunoglobulin in Australia. A report for the National Blood Authority, Part B, Sydney Health Projects Group, University of Sydney, Sydney, pp. 29-31.

Hughes, RAC, Bensa, S, Willison, HJ et al 2001, 'Randomized controlled trial of intravenous immunoglobulin versus oral prednisolone in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy', Annals of Neurology, vol. 50, pp. 195-201.

Hughes, RAC, Bouche, P, Cornblath, DR, et al 2006, 'European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society guideline on management of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society', European Journal of *Neurology*, pp. 326–32.

Kleyweg, RP, van der Meché, FGA & Schmitz, PIM, 1991, 'Interobserver agreement in the assessment of muscle strength and functional abilities in Guillain-Barré syndrome', *Muscle Nerve*, vol. 14, pp. 1103–1109.

Van Schaik, IN, Winer, JB, de Haan, R, et al 2002, 'Intravenous immunoglobulin for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (Cochrane Review)', in *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 2, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, UK.

Zinman, L, Sutton, HD, Ng, E, et al 2005, 'A pilot study to compare the use of the Excorim staphylococcal protein immunoadsorption system and IVIG in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy', *Transfusion and Apheresis Science*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 317–24.

END OF DOCUMENT