### Specialist Working Group for Neurology

#### Proposed changes to the *Criteria for the clinical use of intravenous immunoglobulin in Australia, Second Edition*

| **ITEM** | **CRITERIA FOR THE CLINICAL USE OF INTRAVENOUS IMMUNOGLOBULIN IN AUSTRALIA, SECOND EDITION (CRITERIA)** | **PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE CRITERIA** | | | **SWG RATIONALE FOR PROPOSED CHANGE**  **(A) Administrative)**  **(B) Progressive**  **(C) Programmed** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Condition Name** | Opsoclonus-myoclonus ataxia (OMA) | Opsoclonus-myoclonus ataxia (OMA) | | |  |
| **Specialty** | Neurology | Neurology | | |  |
| **Chapter** | 6 |  | | |  |
| **Specific Conditions** |  | * Idiopathic * Paraneoplastic associated neuroblastoma * Paraneoplastic associated small cell lung cancer * Paraneoplastic associated breast cancer * Paraneoplastic associated other tumour type | | |  |
| **Level of Evidence** | Small case studies only; insufficient data  (Category 4a). | Small case studies only; insufficient data  (Category 4a). | | |  |
| **Justification for Evidence Category** | The Asia–Pacific IVIg Advisory Board (2004)  consensus statement summarises several case  reports suggesting that IVIg is useful in idiopathic  OMA and childhood paraneoplastic OMA associated with neuroblastoma. | The Asia–Pacific IVIg Advisory Board (2004) consensus statement summarises several case reports suggesting that intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) is useful in idiopathic OMA and childhood paraneoplastic OMA associated with neuroblastoma. | | | No change. While there have been further case series showing benefit, there will be no other evidence for this rare disease. |
| **Description and Diagnostic Criteria** | OMA is an immune‑mediated monophasic or multiphasic disorder consisting of opsoclonus (conjugate chaotic eye movements), cerebellar ataxia, and arrhythmic myoclonus affecting the trunk, the head and the extremities.  OMA may be either paraneoplastic or idiopathic, presumably para-infectious (e.g. post-viral).  In children, OMA complicates about 2–3% of  neuroblastomas. In adults, it may occur in association with several cancers, most commonly small-cell lung cancer and breast cancer. | OMA is an immune‑mediated monophasic or multiphasic disorder consisting of opsoclonus (conjugate chaotic eye movements), cerebellar ataxia, and arrhythmic myoclonus affecting the trunk, the head and the extremities.  OMA may be either paraneoplastic or idiopathic, presumably para-infectious (e.g. post-viral).  In children, OMA complicates about 2–3% of  neuroblastomas. In adults, it may occur in association with several cancers, most commonly small-cell lung cancer and breast cancer. | | | No change. |
| **Diagnosis is required** | Diagnosis of OMA by a neurologist  **Note**: Given the rarity of OMA and its devastating effects, IVIg should be used where it is considered appropriate by a neurologist. | Yes | By which specialty | Neurologist | No change - Diagnosis and prescribing must be undertaken by a neurologist. |
| **Diagnosis must be verified** | No | By which specialty |  |
| **Exclusion Criteria** | Adult paraneoplastic OMA. |  | | | The SWG could not find any evidence as to why this exclusion existed. The incidence was very rare, and was treated in the same way as Idiopathic OMA in adults, that is, with Ig as second line treatment after steroids. |
| **Indications** | |  | | --- | | Long-term maintenance therapy of OMA in association with other tumour therapies. | | **Child with OMA.**  **Second-line treatment of OMA in adults following the use of corticosteroids.** | | | The use of 2 indications allows adults with idiopathic OMA to be treated after a course of steroids. Children are eligible for Ig as first line therapy. |
| **Qualifying Criteria** | Diagnosis of OMA by a neurologist:  In children;  OR  As second-line treatment following the use of adrenocorticotrophic hormone or corticosteroids. | **Child with OMA.**  Patient with OMA is younger than 18 years  AND  Clinical assessment indicates significant disability, as measured by the Cerebellar Functional System Score with a value of at least 2 points.  *As there is no validated measure for OMA, the Cerebellar Functional System Score has been selected from the Expanded Disability Status Scale (Kurtzke 1983).*  **Second-line treatment for OMA in adults following the use of corticosteroids.**  Adult with OMA in whom astandard course of steroid therapy has been undertaken (or steroids are contraindicated).  AND  Clinical assessment demonstrates disability as measured by the cerebellar functional system score with a value of at least two points. | | | SWG confirmed the revision of the second qualifying criteria by removal of the reference to ACTH given that ACTH is rarely used in Australia.  The cerebellar functional system score was chosen to demonstrate initial disability and response.  Values of the cerebellar functional system score are:  0. -Normal – no evidence of cerebellar dysfunction   1. Abnormal signs without disability 2. Mild ataxia 3. Moderate ataxia - 4. Severe ataxia - all limbs or gait 5. Unable to perform co-ordinated movements due to ataxia   Changes in opsoclonus symptoms will be rated as:   1. Deterioration in symptoms 2. Symptoms stable 3. Mild improvement 4. Moderate improvement 5. Significant improvement |
| **Review Criteria** | **Review**  Regular review by neurologist is required; frequency as determined by clinical status of patient.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  **Effectiveness**  Objective indicators of relief of symptoms of OMA and improvement or stabilisation of scores of ADLs. | **Child with OMA.**  Regular review by a neurologist is required; frequency as determined by the clinical status of the patient.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  Efficacy of immunoglobulin (Ig) treatment is demonstrated by improvement in symptoms of OMA and improvement in or no deterioration of disability.  **On review of the initial authorisation period**  Patient demonstrates clinical improvement in, or stabilisation of, opsoclonus symptoms after six months treatment.  AND  There has been no further deterioration or some improvement in the degree of disability as measured by the Cerebellar Functional System Score.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  **On review of a continuing authorisation period**  Patients demonstrates clinical improvement or stability in opsoclonus symptoms.  AND  There has been no further deterioration in the degree of disability as measured by the Cerebellar Functional System Score.  Regular review by a neurologist is required; frequency as determined by the clinical status of the patient.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  **Second-line treatment for OMA in adults following the use of corticosteroids.**  Regular review by a neurologist is required; frequency as determined by the clinical status of the patient.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  Efficacy of Ig treatment is demonstrated by improvement in symptoms of OMA and improvement in, or no deterioration of, disability.  **On review of the initial authorisation period**  Patient demonstrates clinical improvement in opsoclonus symptoms.  AND  There has been no further deterioration or some improvement in the degree of disability as measured by the Cerebellar Functional System Score.  Regular review by a neurologist is required; frequency as determined by the clinical status of the patient.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually.  **On review of a continuing authorisation period**  Patient demonstrates stable or improved opsoclonus symptoms.  AND  There has been no further deterioration in the degree of disability as measured by the Cerebellar Functional System Score.  For stable patients on maintenance treatment, review by a neurologist is required at least annually. | | |  |
| **Dose** | **Induction:** 1–2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided doses.  **Maintenance:** 0.4–1 g/kg, 4 to 6 weekly.  Aim for the minimum dose to maintain optimal functional status.  **Refer to the current product information sheet for further information.**  **The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient**. | **Child with OMA**  **Induction:** 1–2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided doses.  **Maintenance:** 0.4–1 g/kg, 4 to 6 weekly.  The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient.  Refer to the current product information sheet for further information.  **Second-line treatment for OMA in adults following the use of corticosteroids.**  **Induction:** 1–2 g/kg in 2 to 5 divided doses.  **Maintenance:** 0.4–1 g/kg, 4 to 6 weekly.  The aim should be to use the lowest dose possible that achieves the appropriate clinical outcome for each patient.  Refer to the current product information sheet for further information. | | | No change to dosing. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **POTENTIAL OPERATIONAL IMPACT** | | | |
| There is not expected to be any significant impact operationally as the definition of the Cerebellar functional system scoring and rating for change in opsoclonus symptoms will be fully documented in the Ig system. | | | |
| **POTENTIAL IMPACT ON DEMAND** | | | |
| **Patient Numbers**  **2013-14**  **Usage 2013/14** | 26 patients (<1%)  2013/14 Usage: < 1% | Given the very few patients with this condition it is unlikely that there will be any significant impact on demand. |  |
| **POTENTIAL IMPACT ON COST** | | | |
| **Current cost** |  | **Anticipated reduction in cost, if any**  **Marginal** = borderline or unchanged from current cost  **Minor** = decrease by $500K - $1.99M from current cost  **Major** = decrease $2M+ from current cost | **Marginal** |
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